

Apéry-like numbers arising from special values of spectral zeta functions for non-commutative harmonic oscillators

Kazufumi KIMOTO* and Masato WAKAYAMA†

February 2, 2008

Dedicated to Leonhard Euler on the 299th anniversary of his birthday.

Abstract

We derive an expression for the value $\zeta_Q(3)$ of the spectral zeta function $\zeta_Q(s)$ studied in [10, 11] for the non-commutative harmonic oscillator defined in [17] using a Gaussian hypergeometric function. In this study, two sequences of rational numbers, denoted $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$, which can be regarded as analogues of the *Apéry numbers*, naturally arise and play a key role in obtaining the expressions for the values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$. We also show that the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ have congruence relations like those of the Apéry numbers.

Keywords: spectral zeta function, non-commutative harmonic oscillator, Heun differential equation, hypergeometric function, $\zeta(3)$, Apéry numbers.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11M41, 11A07, 33C20.

1 Introduction

Let $Q = Q(x, \partial_x)$ be the operator defined by

$$Q(x, \partial_x) := \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} \left(-\frac{\partial_x^2}{2} + \frac{x^2}{2} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(x\partial_x + \frac{1}{2} \right), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\partial_x := \frac{d}{dx}$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\alpha\beta > 1$ [17]. The differential operator Q defines a positive, self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with a discrete spectrum

$$(0 <) \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \cdots \leq \lambda_n \leq \cdots \rightarrow \infty.$$

The operator Q (or a system define by this operator) is called the *non-commutative harmonic oscillator* (it is sometimes abbreviated NCHO), because it is considered a generalization of the ordinary quantum harmonic oscillator that has an extra non-commutativity resulting from the non-commutative pair of matrices $((\begin{smallmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{smallmatrix}), (\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}))$. Actually, when $\alpha = \beta$, the operator Q is unitarily equivalent to a pair of harmonic oscillators.

*Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No.16740021.

†Partially supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) No.15340012.

The spectral zeta function $\zeta_Q(s)$ of Q is defined as the following Dirichlet series [10, 11]:

$$\zeta_Q(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_n^s}. \quad (1.2)$$

If $\Re s > 1$, then this series converges absolutely, and hence it defines a holomorphic function on the half plane $\Re s > 1$. Further, $\zeta_Q(s)$ can be meromorphically continued to the entire plane [10]. We also note that the function $\zeta_Q(s)$ is regarded as a deformation of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$. In fact, $\zeta_Q(s) = 2(2^s - 1)\zeta(s)$ when $\alpha = \beta = \sqrt{2}$, because Q is then unitarily equivalent to the operator $\frac{1}{2}(-\partial_x^2 + x^2) \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

In [11], the first two special values of $\zeta_Q(s)$ are obtained as follows:

$$\zeta_Q(2) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^2}{2(1 - \gamma^2)} \left[(2^2 - 1)\zeta(2) + \left(\frac{\alpha^{-1} - \beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1}} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F(t, s; a) dt ds \right], \quad (1.3)$$

$$\zeta_Q(3) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^3}{4(1 - \gamma^2)^{3/2}} \left[(2^3 - 1)\zeta(3) + 3 \left(\frac{\alpha^{-1} - \beta^{-1}}{\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1}} \right)^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty F(t, s, u; a) dt ds du \right], \quad (1.4)$$

where $\gamma := (\alpha\beta)^{-1/2}$, $a := (\alpha\beta - 1)^{-1/2}$, and

$$F(t, s; a) := \frac{e^{-(t+s)/2}}{1 - e^{-(t+s)}} \left\{ 1 + a^2 \frac{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2s})}{(1 - e^{-(t+s)})^2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (1.5)$$

$$F(t, s, u; a) := \frac{e^{-(t+s+u)/2}}{1 - e^{-(t+s+u)}} \left\{ 1 + a^2 \frac{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2(s+u)})}{(1 - e^{-(t+s+u)})^2} \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (1.6)$$

We note that involved calculations can yield these integral expressions. In addition, the strategy used there to obtain $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ cannot be used for the higher special values $\zeta_Q(4), \zeta_Q(5), \dots$ (see [11] and §5).

Using these expressions, the values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ are shown to be represented by a contour integral of a holomorphic solution in the unit disk of a singly confluent Heun ordinary differential equation and this equation with an inhomogeneous term, respectively [11]. Based on this result, in [15], the following beautiful expression in terms of a hypergeometric function (or a complete elliptic integral) is obtained for the special value $\zeta_Q(2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_Q(2) &= \frac{3}{4}\zeta(2) \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}\right) \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{3}{4}\zeta(2) \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^2}{\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1)} \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{2\pi\sqrt{1 + \cos\theta/\sqrt{1 - \alpha\beta}}} \right)^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

The main purpose of the present paper is to obtain a similar expression for the special value $\zeta_Q(3)$. The result is given in the following.

Theorem 1.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_Q(3) = & \frac{7}{4}\zeta(3)\frac{(\alpha+\beta)^3}{(\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta-1))^{3/2}}\left\{1+3\left(\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha+\beta}{}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4},\frac{3}{4};1;\frac{1}{1-\alpha\beta}\right)\right)^2\right\} \\ & -\frac{3(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha-\beta)^2}{2\alpha^2\beta^2(\alpha\beta-1)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(-1)^k\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^3(\alpha\beta)^{-k}\sum_{j=0}^{k-1}\frac{1}{(2j+1)^3}\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.8)$$

This paper is organized as follows. In §2 we introduce necessary definitions and present the basic results obtained in [11]. In §3 we briefly review the result of [15] for $\zeta_Q(2)$. In §4 we derive a formula for $\zeta_Q(3)$ in binomial sum form.

Our study of the special values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ is based on the detailed investigation of the rational numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ defined in §2. More precisely, the values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ are obtained as series of the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$, and the calculations of $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ are reduced to those of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$. The numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ can be regarded as analogues of the *Apéry numbers* (see Remark 2.1), which have been used to prove the irrationality of $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $\zeta(3)$ (see, e.g., [18]; see also [2]). It is quite mysterious that although these proofs of irrationality for $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$ are parallel, the same method cannot be used to prove the irrationality of the higher special values $\zeta(4), \zeta(5), \dots$, etc. In our spectral zeta function case, the situation is similar in the sense that the special values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ can be calculated and described in quite similar ways using the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ (see §2), but the same method (and/or strategy) does not seem to be effective for calculations of the higher special values $\zeta_Q(4), \zeta_Q(5), \dots$, etc. Further, the generating functions for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ satisfy certain (singly confluent Heun) differential equations in the same operator, with that for $\zeta_Q(2)$ being homogeneous and that for $\zeta_Q(3)$ being inhomogeneous (see (2.11) and (2.12)) [11]. It is important to investigate the cause of those special situations for the pairs $\zeta(2)$ and $\zeta(3)$ and $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$. We expect that there might be some relationship between $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ similar to Euler's conjecture [8],

$$\zeta(3) = \alpha(\log 2)^3 + \beta\zeta(2)\log 2 \quad (\exists\alpha, \exists\beta \in \mathbb{Q}). \quad (1.9)$$

In §5, we give some remarks and introduce some problems concerning the integrals which might be related to the higher special values $\zeta_Q(k)$ ($k \geq 4$). As an important result of the recent studies of the irrationality of special values of the Riemann zeta function that differs from the conventional Apéry-Beukers line of study, we refer the reader to Rivoal [19].

In addition to our main purpose, there are several points of interest in the present study. First, we note that there are a number of interesting studies of the Apéry numbers concerning congruence properties and their analogues (see, e.g., [6, 7, 9, 3, 4, 1, 16, 12, 13] and references therein). These studies suggest that the congruence originates from automorphic forms associated with some algebraic surface (see, e.g., [5, 20]). In §6 we study several basic (Apéry number-like) congruence properties of the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$. It would be interesting to investigate the interpretation of $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ as period integrals on the algebraic surfaces from which the congruence relations among the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ arise.

In the appendix, §7, we generalize the method used in §4 and derive a general formula for the holomorphic solutions of inhomogeneous hypergeometric differential equations. As an application, we present another method of deriving an explicit expression for the numbers $\tilde{J}_3(n)$.

Throughout the paper, we denote by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ the set of natural numbers, rational integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. Also, we denote by ${}_pF_q(a_1, \dots, a_p; b_1, \dots, b_q; z)$ the (generalized) hypergeometric function (see §7).

2 Definitions and basic results

We first recall the necessary definitions and conventions from [11] and present the basic properties. (For detailed discussions, see [11].)

If $\alpha\beta > 2$ or $a^2 = (\alpha\beta - 1)^{-1} < 1$, the functions $F(t, s; a)$ and $F(t, s, u; a)$ have Taylor expansions with respect to a^2 around the origin, because $(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2(s+u)}) \leq (1 - e^{-(t+s+u)})^2$ in the case $t, s, u > 0$. Therefore, with the definitions

$$J_2(n) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(t+s)/2}}{1 - e^{-(t+s)}} \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2s})}{(1 - e^{-(t+s)})^2} \right)^n dt ds, \quad (2.1)$$

$$J_3(n) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(t+s+u)/2}}{1 - e^{-(t+s+u)}} \left(\frac{(1 - e^{-2t})(1 - e^{-2(s+u)})}{(1 - e^{-(t+s+u)})^2} \right)^n dt ds du \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$g_2(x) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} J_2(n) x^n, \quad (2.3)$$

$$g_3(x) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} J_3(n) x^n, \quad (2.4)$$

the special values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$ can be expressed as

$$\zeta_Q(2) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^2}{2(1 - \gamma^2)} \left[(2^2 - 1)\zeta(2) + \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2 g_2(a^2) \right], \quad (2.5)$$

$$\zeta_Q(3) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^3}{4(1 - \gamma^2)^{3/2}} \left[(2^3 - 1)\zeta(3) + 3 \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right)^2 g_3(a^2) \right] \quad (2.6)$$

if $\alpha\beta > 2$. From this point, we assume that $\alpha\beta > 2$.

The numbers $J_k(n)$ satisfy the following recurrence relations.

Proposition 2.1 ([11, Propositions 4.11 and 6.4]). *We have*

$$4n^2 J_2(n) - (8n^2 - 8n + 3)J_2(n-1) + 4(n-1)^2 J_2(n-2) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$4n^2 J_3(n) - (8n^2 - 8n + 3)J_3(n-1) + 4(n-1)^2 J_3(n-2) = \frac{2^n(n-1)!}{(2n-1)!!}, \quad (2.8)$$

with the initial values

$$\begin{aligned} J_2(0) &= 3\zeta(2), & J_2(1) &= \frac{9}{4}\zeta(2), \\ J_3(0) &= 7\zeta(3), & J_3(1) &= \frac{21}{4}\zeta(3) + \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 2.1. Roger Apéry introduced the numbers $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$ in 1978 called *Apéry numbers* nowadays, which satisfy the recurrence relation

$$A_0 = 1, A_1 = 5, \quad (n+1)^3 A_{n+1} - (34n^3 + 51n^2 + 27n + 5)A_n + n^3 A_{n-1} = 0 \quad (n \geq 1). \quad (2.9)$$

Apéry used these numbers to prove the irrationality of $\zeta(3) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3}$ (see, e.g., [18]). Note that the recurrence relations (2.7) and (2.8) for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ are quite similar to (2.9). Moreover, $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ satisfy congruence relations analogous to those satisfied by the Apéry numbers A_n (see §6). In this sense, we may regard $\tilde{J}_n(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ as analogues of the Apéry numbers A_n .

Denote by $w_k(z)$ the generating functions for the numbers $J_k(n)$, defined by

$$w_k(z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_k(n) z^n. \quad (2.10)$$

From the recurrence relations (2.7) and (2.8), it follows that each of the functions $w_2(z)$ and $w_3(z)$ satisfies a confluent Heun differential equation, as described by the following

Lemma 2.2 ([11, Theorems 4.13 and 6.5]). *The functions $w_2(z)$ and $w_3(z)$ satisfy*

$$D_H w_2(z) = 0, \quad (2.11)$$

$$D_H w_3(z) = \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}; z\right), \quad (2.12)$$

where D_H is the singly confluent Heun differential operator defined by

$$D_H := z(1-z)^2 \partial_z^2 + (1-3z)(1-z) \partial_z + z - \frac{3}{4}. \quad (2.13)$$

□

For convenience, we introduce the ‘normalized’ sequences

$$\tilde{J}_2(n) := \frac{J_2(n)}{J_2(0)}, \quad \tilde{J}_3(n) := J_3(n) - J_3(0) \tilde{J}_2(n). \quad (2.14)$$

These numbers also satisfy the recurrence relations (2.7) and (2.8), with the initial values

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_2(0) &= 1, & \tilde{J}_2(1) &= \frac{3}{4}, \\ \tilde{J}_3(0) &= 0, & \tilde{J}_3(1) &= \frac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, it is easy to see that $\tilde{J}_2(n), \tilde{J}_3(n) \in \mathbb{Q}$. Numerically, we have

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$\tilde{J}_2(n)$	1	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{41}{64}$	$\frac{147}{256}$	$\frac{8649}{16384}$	$\frac{32307}{65536}$	$\frac{487889}{1048576}$	$\frac{1856307}{4194304}$	$\frac{454689481}{1073741824}$	$\frac{1748274987}{4294967296}$

Table 1: First ten values of $\tilde{J}_2(n) = J_2(n)/J_2(0)$.

We note that the convergence of $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ to 0 is slower than that of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ (see Table 2 below). For instance, we have $\tilde{J}_2(10^4) \cong 0.025$ and $\tilde{J}_3(10^4) \cong 0.2457$.

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$\tilde{J}_3(n)$	0	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{65}{96}$	$\frac{13247}{17280}$	$\frac{704707}{860160}$	$\frac{660278641}{774144000}$	$\frac{357852111131}{408748032000}$
n	7			8			9
$\tilde{J}_3(n)$	$\frac{309349386395887}{347163328512000}$			$\frac{240498440880062263}{266621436297216000}$			$\frac{148443546307725010253}{163172319013896192000}$

Table 2: First ten values of $\tilde{J}_3(n) = J_3(n) - J_3(0)\tilde{J}_2(n)$.

We next introduce the generating functions of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$, $\tilde{w}_2(x)$, $\tilde{w}_3(x)$, $\tilde{g}_2(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_3(x)$, in analogy to $w_k(z)$ and $g_k(z)$:

$$\tilde{w}_k(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{J}_k(n)x^n, \quad (2.15)$$

$$\tilde{g}_k(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} \tilde{J}_k(n)x^n. \quad (2.16)$$

It is clear that the function $\tilde{w}_k(z)$ satisfies the same differential equation as $w_k(z)$.

3 Explicit formulas for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\zeta_Q(2)$

We briefly review the derivation of the formula for $\zeta_Q(2)$ according to Ochiai [15], and give an expression for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ in terms of binomial coefficients as its corollary.

We first see that the function $w_2(z)$ is expressed in terms of a hypergeometric function as follows.

Proposition 3.1 ([15, Proposition 3]). *The generating function $w_2(z)$ is given by*

$$w_2(z) = \frac{J_2(0)}{1-z} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; \frac{z}{z-1}\right). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. In order to make the paper self-contained, we present here the proof given by Ochiai [15]. It is straightforward to verify that the equation (2.11) is equivalent to

$$4(1-z)^2 \partial_z z \partial_z (1-z)w_2(z) + (1-z)w_2(z) = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

Then, changing the variable by $t = \frac{z}{z-1}$ and putting $v_2(t) = (1-z)w_2(z)$, we see that (3.2) is equivalent to the hypergeometric differential equation

$$\left(t(1-t)\partial_t^2 + (1-2t)\partial_t - \frac{1}{4}\right)v_2(t) = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

whose local holomorphic solution is a scalar multiple of ${}_2F_1(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1; t)$. This completes the proof. $\square$

As a corollary, we have the following explicit expression for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$.

Corollary 3.2. *The number $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ is given by*

$$\tilde{J}_2(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}. \quad (3.4)$$

□

The expression (1.7) for $\zeta_Q(2)$ in terms of the hypergeometric function is obtained by combining (2.5) and the formula (3.5) for $\tilde{g}_2(x)$, proved below. The proof is essentially the same as that given by Ochiai [15], however, in contrast to the proof of Ochiai, our proof does not employ integrations.

Proposition 3.3. *We have*

$$\tilde{g}_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}; 1; \frac{x}{1+x}\right)^2 = {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; -x\right)^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Proof. Recall the following two elementary formulas for binomial sums:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} x^n = {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; x\right), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k} \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k. \quad (3.7)$$

Using these, we can calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_2(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} x^n \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} \binom{n}{k} x^n \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^3 \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} {}_3F_2\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; \frac{x}{1+x}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We then apply the formulas (see, e.g., [2])

$${}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \beta; \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}; z\right)^2 = {}_3F_2\left(2\alpha, 2\beta, \alpha + \beta; 2\alpha + 2\beta, \alpha + \beta + \frac{1}{2}; z\right), \quad (\text{Clausen's identity})$$

$${}_2F_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; z) = (1-z)^{-\alpha} {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \gamma - \beta; \gamma; \frac{z}{z-1}\right) \quad (\text{Pfaff's formula})$$

to (3.8). This gives the desired result. □

Remark 3.1. We immediately deduce the following expression for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ from (3.5):

$$\tilde{J}_2(n) = 2^{-4n} \binom{2n}{n}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{2k}{k} \binom{4k}{2k} \binom{2n-2k}{n-k} \binom{4n-4k}{2n-2k}. \quad (3.9)$$

This clearly implies the positivity of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$.

4 Binomial sum formulas for $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$

In this section, we present a binomial sum formula for $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ like (3.4) for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$, and give an expression for $\zeta_Q(3)$ (Theorem 4.5) like (1.7) for $\zeta_Q(2)$. The strategy for obtaining these is the same as that used in §3. Specifically, we solve the differential equation (2.12) and utilize the solution to obtain a formula for $\tilde{J}_3(n)$.

We construct a holomorphic solution $w(z)$ of the differential equation

$$D_H w(z) = \frac{1}{2} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}; z\right) \quad (4.1)$$

at the origin. For this purpose, first, we change the variable by $t = \frac{z}{z-1}$ and introduce

$$\begin{aligned} D_O &:= t(1-t)\partial_t^2 + (1-2t)\partial_t - \frac{1}{4}, \\ v(t) &:= (1-z)w(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then, we see that the equation (4.1) is equivalent to

$$D_O v(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{1-t} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{t}{t-1}\right). \quad (4.2)$$

The right-hand side of (4.2) takes the simple form given in the following.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$\frac{1}{1-t} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{t}{t-1}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{2n+1}. \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. Applying Pfaff's formula, we find

$$\frac{1}{1-t} {}_2F_1\left(1, 1; \frac{3}{2}; \frac{t}{t-1}\right) = {}_2F_1\left(1, \frac{1}{2}; \frac{3}{2}; t\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{2n+1}.$$

□

Lemma 4.2. *The polynomial function $p_n(t)$ defined as*

$$p_n(t) = -\frac{4}{(2n+1)^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 t^k \quad (4.4)$$

satisfies the differential equation $D_O p_n(t) = t^n$.

Proof. The assertion is verified by straightforward calculation. (See also Proposition 7.1.) □

Thus, the function

$$v(t) := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{p_n(t)}{2n+1} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^3} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n}^2 \right) \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 t^k \quad (4.5)$$

gives a local holomorphic solution to (4.2). Therefore,

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{1-z} v\left(\frac{z}{z-1}\right) = 2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2} \right) z^n \quad (4.6)$$

is a local holomorphic solution to (4.1).

Note that any holomorphic solution w of (4.1) at the origin can be written in the form $w = c\tilde{w}_2 + \tilde{w}_3$ for some constant c . Then, it is seen that the constant c is simply the constant term of w ; that is, we have $\tilde{w}_3 = w - w(0)\tilde{w}_2$. The constant term of w is given by

$$w(0) = v(0) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2}.$$

Thus we have the

Theorem 4.3. *The holomorphic solution $\tilde{w}_3$ of the differential equation (4.1) at the origin with the initial condition $\tilde{w}_3(0) = 0$ is given by*

$$\tilde{w}_3(z) = -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2} \right) z^n. \quad (4.7)$$

In particular,

$$\tilde{J}_3(n) = -2 \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2}. \quad (4.8)$$

□

With the above, the function $\tilde{g}_3(x)$ can be calculated in the same manner as $\tilde{g}_2(z)$ in the previous section.

Corollary 4.4. *The function $\tilde{g}_3(x)$ is given by*

$$\tilde{g}_3(x) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{1+x}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2}. \quad (4.9)$$

□

Combining (4.9) and (2.6), we obtain the

Theorem 4.5 (Theorem 1.1). *The value $\zeta_Q(3)$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_Q(3) = & \frac{7}{4} \zeta(3) \frac{(\alpha + \beta)^3}{(\alpha\beta(\alpha\beta - 1))^{3/2}} \left\{ 1 + 3 \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} {}_2F_1\left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}; 1; \frac{1}{1 - \alpha\beta}\right) \right)^2 \right\} \\ & - \frac{3(\alpha + \beta)(\alpha - \beta)^2}{2\alpha^2\beta^2(\alpha\beta - 1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 \left(\frac{-1}{\alpha\beta}\right)^k \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{-2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

□

5 Remarks related to higher special values

Noting the integral expressions (2.1) and (2.2) for $J_2(n)$ and $J_3(n)$, it is quite natural to introduce the numbers $J_k(n)$ through the integral

$$J_k(n) := \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-(t_1+\cdots+t_k)/2}}{1-e^{-(t_1+\cdots+t_k)}} \left(\frac{(1-e^{-2t_1})(1-e^{-2(t_2+\cdots+t_k)})}{(1-e^{-(t_1+\cdots+t_k)})^2} \right)^n dt_1 dt_2 \cdots dt_k \quad (5.1)$$

$$= 2^k \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2} \left(\frac{(1-x_1^4)(1-x_2^4 \cdots x_k^4)}{(1-x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2)^2} \right)^n dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_k. \quad (5.2)$$

Then, for their generating functions, we have

$$w_k(z) := \sum_{n=0}^\infty J_k(n) z^n = 2^k \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \cdots \int_0^1 \frac{1-x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2}{(1-x_1^2 \cdots x_k^2)^2 - (1-x_1^4)(1-x_2^4 \cdots x_k^4)z} dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_k. \quad (5.3)$$

Note that the numbers $J_k(n)$ are all positive. Also we have $w_k(0) = (2^k - 1)\zeta(k)$.

Remark 5.1. Because in general, for a sufficiently well-behaved f , we have the relation

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \cdots \int_0^\infty f(x_1 + \cdots + x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n)} \int_0^\infty y^{n-1} f(y) dy, \quad (5.4)$$

we see that

$$J_k(n) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k-1)} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty s^{k-2} \frac{e^{-(s+t)/2}}{1-e^{-(s+t)}} \left(\frac{(1-e^{-2s})(1-e^{-2t})}{(1-e^{-(s+t)})^2} \right)^n ds dt. \quad (5.5)$$

Here, we point out that it is still unclear if the special values $\zeta_Q(k)$ ($k \geq 4$) of the spectral zeta function for the NCHO can also be described by the numbers $J_k(n)$. More precisely, we have the following question: Is it true that

$$\zeta_Q(k) = Z_0(k) + \sum_{n=0}^\infty Z'_n(k) \quad (k \geq 2) \quad (5.6)$$

with

$$Z_0(k) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^k}{2^{k-1}(1-\gamma^2)^{k/2}} (2^k - 1)\zeta(k), \quad (5.7)$$

$$Z'_n(k) = \frac{(\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1})^k}{2^{k-1}(1-\gamma^2)^{k/2}} R_{n,k} \left(\frac{\alpha - \beta}{\alpha + \beta} \right) (-1)^n \binom{2n}{n} \left(\frac{a}{2} \right)^{2n} J_k(n) \quad (5.8)$$

for some polynomial $R_{n,k}$?

Beside this question, we have the

Problem 5.1. Find a differential equation satisfied by $w_k(z)$ ($k \geq 4$). $\square$

Now, note that the numbers $J_k(1)$ can be written

$$J_k(1) = \frac{3}{4} \left(\zeta\left(k, \frac{1}{2}\right) + \sum_{m=1}^{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1} 2^{-2m} \zeta\left(k-2m, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right) + \frac{1 - (-1)^k}{2^{k-1}}, \quad (5.9)$$

where $\zeta(s, x) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty (n+x)^{-s}$ is the Hurwitz zeta function. This implies that $J_{k-2}(1) - 4J_k(1) + 3J_k(0) = 0$. We are thus led to the following.

Problem 5.2. Carry out a general study of such “vertical” relations among the numbers $J_k(n)$. $\square$

6 Congruence properties of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$

The numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$, as we have seen, can be regarded as analogues of the Apéry numbers, $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$ (see Remark 2.1). In this section we study congruence properties of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ similar to those of the Apéry numbers.

We employ the following convention for treating congruence properties of rational numbers. Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$ and r be a positive integer. If $x - y = \frac{rn}{d}$ for some $n, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that d is relatively prime to r , then we regard x and y are congruent modulo r and write $x \equiv y \pmod{r}$.

We now demonstrate the following basic congruence (or divisibility) property of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$.

Proposition 6.1. *Let p be an odd prime number such that $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. We have $\tilde{J}_2(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ if $n_j(p) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$ for some j , where $n = n_0(p) + n_1(p)p + \cdots + n_d(p)p^d$ is the base p expansion of n .*

Proof. First, note that

$$\tilde{J}_2(n) \equiv \tilde{J}_2(n_0(p))\tilde{J}_2(n_1(p)) \cdots \tilde{J}_2(n_d(p)) \pmod{p}. \quad (6.1)$$

This relation can be obtained by repeatedly using the elementary congruence formulas

$$\binom{ap+b}{cp+d} \equiv \binom{a}{c} \binom{b}{d} \pmod{p}, \quad 2^{-p} \equiv 2^{-1} \pmod{p} \quad (6.2)$$

in (3.4), the explicit expression for $\tilde{J}_2(n)$.

Next, we show that $\tilde{J}_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv 0$ if $p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. Because $\binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k} \equiv (-1)^k 2^{-2k} \binom{2k}{k} \pmod{p}$, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\tilde{J}_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{p-1}{2}} (-1)^k \binom{\frac{p-1}{2}}{k}^3 \pmod{p}.$$

Next, using the formulas

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k}^3 = \begin{cases} 0 & n : \text{odd}, \\ (-1)^{n/2} \frac{(3n/2)!}{(n/2)!^3} & n : \text{even}, \end{cases}$$

$$\left(\frac{3(p-1)}{4}\right)! \equiv (-1)^{(p-1)/4} \left(\frac{p-1}{4}\right)!^{-1} \pmod{p},$$

we have

$$\tilde{J}_2\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right) \equiv \begin{cases} 0 & p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}, \\ -\left(\frac{p-1}{4}\right)!^{-4} & p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (6.3)$$

as desired. Combining (6.1) and (6.3), we have the conclusion. $\square$

Remark 6.1. The condition that $n_j(p) \equiv \frac{p-1}{2}$ holds for some j is not a necessary condition to realize $\tilde{J}_2(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. In fact, for a given prime number p such that $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, there can exist $n \in \mathbb{N}$ distinct from $(p-1)/2$ satisfying $\tilde{J}_2(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, with $0 \leq n < p$. For instance, we have $\tilde{J}_2(7) \equiv \tilde{J}_2(15) \equiv 0 \pmod{23}$. We also note that there exists a prime number p which is congruent to 1 modulo 4 such that $\tilde{J}_2(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for some n with $0 \leq n < p$.

We now show higher-order congruence properties of $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$. Each of these is an analogue of the congruence

$$A_{mp^n-1} \equiv A_{mp^{n-1}-1} \pmod{p^n} \quad (6.4)$$

for Apéry numbers.

Theorem 6.2. *For any odd prime number p , we have*

$$\tilde{J}_2(mp^r) \equiv \tilde{J}_2(mp^{r-1}) \pmod{p^r} \quad (6.5)$$

$$\tilde{J}_3(p^r)p^{3r} \equiv \tilde{J}_3(p^{r-1})p^{3(r-1)} \pmod{p^r} \quad (6.6)$$

for any $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. First, note the elementary congruence relation

$$\binom{mp^r}{kp^l} \equiv \begin{cases} \binom{mp^{r-1}}{kp^{l-1}} & l \geq 1 \\ 0 & l = 0 \end{cases} \pmod{p^r} \quad (6.7)$$

for $k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, from which it follows immediately that

$$\binom{mp^r}{kp^l} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^{r-l}}. \quad (6.8)$$

We also have

$$2^{kp^l} = \sum_{j=0}^{kp^l} \binom{kp^l}{j} \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{kp^{l-1}} \binom{kp^{l-1}}{j} = 2^{kp^{l-1}} \pmod{p^l}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\binom{2kp^l}{kp^l} = \sum_{j=0}^{kp^l} \binom{kp^l}{j}^2 \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{kp^{l-1}} \binom{kp^{l-1}}{j}^2 = \binom{2kp^{l-1}}{kp^{l-1}} \pmod{p^l}, \quad (6.10)$$

found by using (6.7) again. Thus we obtain

$$\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{kp^l} = (-1)^{kp^l} 2^{-kp^l} \binom{2kp^l}{kp^l} \equiv (-1)^{kp^{l-1}} 2^{-kp^{l-1}} \binom{2kp^{l-1}}{kp^{l-1}} = \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{kp^{l-1}} \pmod{p^l}. \quad (6.11)$$

Combining (6.8), (6.11) and (6.7), we have

$$\binom{mp^r}{pj} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{pj} \equiv \binom{mp^{r-1}}{j} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j} \pmod{p^r}. \quad (6.12)$$

Hence the desired $\tilde{J}_2$ -congruence relation, (6.5), follows.

Next we prove the $\tilde{J}_3$ -congruences. Noting the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{J}_3(p^r)p^{3r} &= -2 \sum_{k=0}^{p^r} (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \binom{p^r}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^{-2} p^{3r} \\ &\equiv -2 \sum_{k=0}^{p^{r-1}} (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \binom{p^{r-1}}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{kp-1} \frac{1}{(2j+1)^3} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{j}^{-2} p^{3r} \\ &\equiv -2 \sum_{k=0}^{p^{r-1}} (-1)^k \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{k}^2 \binom{p^{r-1}}{k} \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(2l+1)^3} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{p(2l+1)-1}{2}}^{-2} p^{3(r-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

to prove (6.6) it is enough to show the congruence

$$\frac{p^{3(r-1)}}{(2l+1)^3} \left\{ \left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{\frac{p(2l+1)-1}{2}} \right)^{-2} - \left(\frac{-\frac{1}{2}}{l} \right)^{-2} \right\} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^r} \quad (6.13)$$

for $0 \leq l \leq p^{r-1}$. It is immediately seen that the validity of the congruence (6.13) is equivalent to the validity of the inequality

$$4 \operatorname{ord}_p \binom{2j}{j} - \operatorname{ord}_p \left\{ \left(\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{2}} \right)^2 - \binom{2j}{j}^2 \right\} + \operatorname{ord}_p(2j+1) \leq 2r-1 \quad (6.14)$$

for $0 \leq j \leq p^r$. Here we denote by $\operatorname{ord}_p(m)$ the exponent of p in $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for a given prime number p . This inequality is obtained as follows. We first note that

$$\binom{mp^r-1}{k} \equiv (-1)^{k-[k/p]} \binom{mp^{r-1}}{[k/p]} \pmod{p^r}, \quad (6.15)$$

where $[x]$ denotes the largest integer not exceeding x . Then, utilizing (6.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ord}_p \left(\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{2}} \right) &= \operatorname{ord}_p \binom{2j}{j}, \\ \left(\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{2}} \right)^2 &\equiv \binom{2j}{j}^2 \pmod{p^{1+\operatorname{ord}_p(2j+1)}}, \end{aligned}$$

which imply the equality

$$2 \operatorname{ord}_p \binom{2j}{j} - \operatorname{ord}_p \left\{ \left(\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{\frac{p(2j+1)-1}{2}} \right)^2 - \binom{2j}{j}^2 \right\} + \operatorname{ord}_p(2j+1) = -1 \quad (6.16)$$

for any $j \geq 0$. Finally, noting the obvious relation $\operatorname{ord}_p \binom{2j}{j} \leq r$ for $0 \leq j \leq p^r$, we obtain the desired result, (6.6). $\square$

Remark 6.2. While the Apéry numbers $A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^2 \binom{n+k}{k}^2$ satisfy the supercongruence relation

$$A_{kp^n-1} \equiv A_{kp^{n-1}-1} \pmod{p^{3n}} \quad (6.17)$$

for any prime p and any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ (see, e.g., [4]), it seems that no such relation exists for the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$. For instance, the congruence relation

$$\tilde{J}_2(mp^r) \equiv \tilde{J}_2(mp^{r-1}) \pmod{p^{r+1}} \quad (6.18)$$

does not hold in general.

Remark 6.3. Although we have not been able to obtain a proof, numerical computations indicate the relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \tilde{J}_2(k)^2 \equiv \left(\frac{-1}{p} \right) \pmod{p^3} \quad (6.19)$$

for any odd prime p . This relation is quite similar to the Rodriguez-Villegas-type congruence [14],

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} 2^{-4k} \binom{2k}{k}^2 \equiv \left(\frac{-4}{p} \right) \pmod{p^2}. \quad (6.20)$$

Here $\left(\frac{a}{b} \right)$ denotes the Legendre symbol. This similarity seems to suggest an algebra-geometric interpretation of the numbers $\tilde{J}_2(n)$ and $\tilde{J}_3(n)$ and special values $\zeta_Q(2)$ and $\zeta_Q(3)$.

7 Appendix

Here we establish a general formula for the local holomorphic solution of a particular inhomogeneous hypergeometric differential equation.

The (generalized) hypergeometric function is defined by

$${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n}{(\mathbf{b})_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \quad (7.1)$$

for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_p)$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_q)$. Here, for simplicity, we introduce the following notations

$$(a)_n := \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)}, \quad (\mathbf{a})_n := (a_1)_n \cdots (a_p)_n, \quad (\mathbf{b})_n := (b_1)_n \cdots (b_q)_n.$$

We assume that the quantities a_j and b_j are not nonnegative integers. The function ${}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$ satisfies the differential equation ${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \cdot {}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) = 0$, where the operator ${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)$ is defined by

$${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) := -(\mathcal{E} + a_1) \cdots (\mathcal{E} + a_p) + \partial_z (\mathcal{E} + b_1 - 1) \cdots (\mathcal{E} + b_q - 1), \quad (7.2)$$

$\mathcal{E} := z\partial_z$ being the Euler (degree) operator. We first demonstrate the following.

Lemma 7.1. *Let*

$$P_d(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) := \sum_{n=0}^d \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n}{(\mathbf{b})_n} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (7.3)$$

Then we have

$${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) P_d(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) = -\frac{(\mathbf{a})_{d+1}}{(\mathbf{b})_d} \frac{z^d}{d!}. \quad (7.4)$$

Proof. The assertion immediately follows from the equality

$${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \left(\frac{(\mathbf{a})_n}{(\mathbf{b})_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \right) = -\frac{(\mathbf{a})_{n+1}}{(\mathbf{b})_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} + \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n}{(\mathbf{b})_{n-1}} \frac{z^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}. \quad (7.5)$$

□

Proposition 7.2. *Let $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n / n!$ be a holomorphic function around $z = 0$. Suppose that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \frac{(\mathbf{b})_n}{(\mathbf{a})_{n+1}}$ converges absolutely. Then the local holomorphic (power series at $z = 0$) solution of the inhomogeneous differential equation*

$${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) f(z) = g(z) \quad (7.6)$$

with the initial condition $f(0) = C$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(C + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \right) \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!} \\ &= C \cdot {}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \right) \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Proof. Write

$$F(z) = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} P_k(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z). \quad (7.8)$$

This series converges absolutely near the origin, owing to the relation

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} P_k(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \right| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!} \right|.$$

It immediately follows that ${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)F(z) = g(z)$, and hence $F(z)$ represents a local solution, with $F(0) = - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}}$. Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) &:= F(z) - F(0) \cdot {}_pF_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z) \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_k \frac{(\mathbf{b})_k}{(\mathbf{a})_{k+1}} \right) \frac{(\mathbf{a})_n z^n}{(\mathbf{b})_n n!} \end{aligned}$$

is the solution of ${}_pD_q(\mathbf{a}; \mathbf{b}; z)f(z) = g(z)$ with the initial condition $f(0) = 0$. The assertion is now clear. $\square$

As an application of this proposition, we now give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.3. First, recall the definition of $\tilde{g}_k(x)$ given in §2,

$$\tilde{g}_k(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{n} \tilde{J}_k(n) x^n. \quad (7.9)$$

Next we prove the following.

Proposition 7.3. *The functions $\tilde{g}_2(x)$ and $\tilde{g}_3(x)$ satisfy the differential equations*

$$D_W \tilde{g}_2(x) = 0, \quad D_W \tilde{g}_3(x) = -\frac{2}{1+x}, \quad (7.10)$$

where the operator D_W is given by

$$D_W = 8x^2(1+x)^2 \partial_x^3 + 24x(1+x)(1+2x) \partial_x^2 + 2(4+27x+27x^2) \partial_x + 3(1+2x).$$

Proof. Recall the relation

$$\tilde{g}_2(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+x}} {}_3F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; \frac{x}{1+x} \right).$$

It is easy to show that the differential equation $D_W \tilde{g}_2(x) = 0$ is equivalent to

$${}_3D_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; z \right) (\tilde{g}_2(x) \sqrt{1+x}) = 0$$

for $z = \frac{x}{1+x}$. In fact, we have

$$\frac{1}{8}(1+x)^{\frac{3}{2}} D_W = {}_3D_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; z \right) \sqrt{1+x}. \quad (7.11)$$

Then, employing the recurrence relation (2.8) of $\tilde{J}_3(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_W \tilde{g}_3(x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \{2n(2n+1)(2n-1)c_{n-1} + (2n+1)(8n^2+8n+3)c_n + 8(n+1)^3c_{n+1}\} x^n \\ &= -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n = \frac{-2}{1+x}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c_n = (-1)^n 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} \tilde{J}_3(n)$. □

Next, defining $\varphi(z) := \tilde{g}_3(x) \sqrt{1+x}$ for $z = \frac{x}{1+x}$, we have

$${}_3D_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}; 1, 1; z \right) \varphi(z) = \frac{1}{8(1-z)^{\frac{3}{2}}} D_W g_3(x) = -\frac{1}{4\sqrt{1-z}} = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_n \frac{z^n}{n!}. \quad (7.12)$$

Then, because $\varphi(0) = 0$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(z) &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)_k \frac{(1,1)_k}{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)_{k+1}} \right) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \right)_n}{(1,1)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \\ &= -2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_k^{-2} \right) (-1)^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_n^3 z^n, \end{aligned} \quad (7.13)$$

using Proposition 7.2. It follows that

$$\tilde{g}_3(x) = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{1+x}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(2k+1)^3} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_k^{-2} \right) (-1)^n \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right)_n^3 \left(\frac{x}{1+x} \right)^n, \quad (7.14)$$

from which we obtain Theorem 4.3.

References

- [1] Ahlgren, S. and Ono, K.: A Gaussian hypergeometric series evaluation and Apéry number congruences. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **518** (2000), 187–212.
- [2] Andrews, G. E., Askey, R. and Roy, R.: Special functions. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, **71**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] Beukers, F.: Some congruences for Apéry numbers. *J. Number Theory* **21** (1985), 141–155.
- [4] Beukers, F.: Another congruence for the Apéry numbers. *J. Number Theory* **25** (1987), 201–210.
- [5] Beukers, F. and Peters, C.A.M.: A family of $K3$ surfaces and $\zeta(3)$. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **351** (1984), 42–54.
- [6] Chowla, S., Cowles, J. and Cowles, M.: Congruence properties of Apéry numbers. *J. Number Theory* **12** (1980), 188–190.
- [7] Cowles, J.: Some congruence properties of three well-known sequences: two notes. *J. Number Theory* **12** (1980), 84–86.
- [8] Euler, L.: De relatione inter ternas pluresve quantitates instituenda. *Opuscula analytica* **2** (1785), 91–101.
- [9] Gessel, I.: Some congruence properties of Apéry numbers. *J. Number Theory* **14** (1982), 362–368.
- [10] Ichinose, T. and Wakayama, M.: Zeta functions for the spectrum of the non-commutative harmonic oscillators. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **258** (2005), 697–739.
- [11] Ichinose, T. and Wakayama, M.: Special values of the spectral zeta function of the non-commutative harmonic oscillator and confluent Heun equations. *Kyushu J. Math.* **59** (2005), 39–100.
- [12] Koike, M.: Hypergeometric series over finite fields and Apéry numbers. *Hiroshima Math. J.* **22** (1992), 461–467.
- [13] Mimura, Y.: Congruence properties of Apéry numbers. *J. Number Theory* **16** (1983), 138–146.
- [14] Mortenson, E.: A supercongruence conjecture of Rodriguez-Villegas for a certain truncated hypergeometric function. *J. Number Theory* **99** (2003), 139–147.
- [15] Ochiai, H.: A special value of the spectral zeta function of the non-commutative harmonic oscillators. Preprint 2004.
- [16] Ono, K. and Penniston, D.: Congruences for ${}_3F_2$ hypergeometric functions over finite fields. *Illinois J. Math.* **46** (2001), 679–684.
- [17] Parmeggiani, A. and Wakayama, M.: Oscillator representations and systems of ordinary differential equations. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **98** (2001), 26–30.

- [18] Van der Poorten, A.J.: A proof that Euler missed... Apéry's proof of the irrationality of $\zeta(3)$. *Math. Intelligencer* **1** (1979), 195–203.
- [19] Rivoal, T.: Irrationalité d'au moins un des neuf nombres $\zeta(5), \zeta(7), \dots, \zeta(21)$. *Acta. Arith.* **103.2** (2002), 157–167.
- [20] Steinstra, J. and Beukers, F.: On the Picard-Fuchs equation and the formal Brauer group of certain elliptic $K3$ surfaces. *Math. Ann.* **271** (1985), 269–304.

Kazufumi KIMOTO

Department of Mathematical Science, University of the Ryukyus.

Senbaru, Nishihara, Okinawa 903-0231, JAPAN.

`kimoto@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp`

Masato WAKAYAMA

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University.

Hakozaki, Fukuoka 812-8518, JAPAN.

`wakayama@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`